

Errata in the second edition of
Stochastic Finance: An Introduction in Discrete Time
 by Hans Föllmer and Alexander Schied

July 25, 2010

page 10, second display: replace $\frac{d\tilde{P}^*}{dP}$ by $\frac{d\tilde{P}^*}{dP^*}$

page 11, third display: add minus signs to the right-hand sides of the equations

page 17, line 5: the risk-free investment should be $(1 + \tilde{r})/(1 + r)$ instead of 1

page 20, last display in the proof of Theorem 1.31: replace $\pi_{\text{sup}}(C)$ by m_n

page 21, line 8 in the proof of Corollary 1.34: define ξ^0 by $\xi^0 := \pi \cdot \xi - \pi_{\text{inf}}(C)$

page 41, line -8: replace $L^0(\omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$ by $L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$

page 56, in Example 2.26: take $U(\mu)$ as $\lim_k k^2 \mu(k)$ and let \mathcal{M} be those μ for which this limit exists in \mathbb{R}

page 77, lines 10 to 12: replace $h(b)$ by $h(b)\mu((-\infty, b])$ in lines 10 and 11. In line 12, we must thus add the term $h(b)(\mu((-\infty, b]) - \nu((-\infty, b]))$. This term tends to zero as $b \uparrow \infty$, because $h(b)$ decays at most linearly as $b \uparrow \infty$, and both μ and ν have first moments.

page 120, last display in Example 3.23: replace $\dots + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ by $\dots + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2}$

page 150, line 17: replace $\frac{E[\varphi]}{\varphi_0}$ by $\frac{\varphi_0}{E[\varphi]}$

page 163, line -1: swap \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_ρ

page 182, (4.38): replace $q_\lambda^+(X)$ by $q_X^+(\lambda)$

page 189, line 9: Remark ?? should be Example 4.13

page 204, first line in Proposition 4.93: replace \mathbb{R}^d by \mathbb{R}

page 205, Theorem 4.95: Add the assumption that the cone generated by \mathcal{S} is closed (compare comment on Theorem 9.9 below)

page 207: replace the identity in (4.59) by $\{\bar{\rho} < 0\} \subseteq \{X^S + A \mid X^S \in \mathcal{A}^S, A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}$. In the following line replace $X \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\bar{\rho}(\bar{X}) < 0$. Three lines below (4.59) replace $X^S + A + \xi \cdot Y \geq X \in \mathcal{A}$ by $X^S + A + \xi \cdot Y \geq A \in \mathcal{A}$.

page 209, last line in the proof of Proposition 4.99: replace $E_{R^*}[Y]$ by $E_{R^*}[V]$

page 228, line -14: replace (b) by (5.8)

page 252, line -1: Write $(1 + r)$ instead of $(1 + r)^t$

page 257, lines -1 and -6: replace $E^*[(S_T - \tilde{K})^+; S_T < B]$ by $E^*[(S_T - \tilde{K})^+; S_T < \tilde{B}]$, where $\tilde{B} := S_0^2/B$

page 258, line -10: replace $E^*[(S_T - \tilde{K})^+; S_T < B]$ by $E^*[(S_T - \tilde{K})^+; S_T < \tilde{B}]$, where $\tilde{B} = S_0^2/B$

page 263, line -3: the right-hand side of the display must be divided by $\sqrt{2\pi}$

page 264, line 3: $\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^x \dots$

In Example 5.63: In the third line of the display in the middle of p. 274 and in the first display on p. 275, replace B by $\tilde{B} = S_0^2/B$. The display in the middle of p. 275 should be corrected as follows:

$$e^{-rT} \left(\mathbb{E}[(S_T - K)^+; S_T \geq B] + \left(\frac{B}{S_0}\right)^{\frac{2r}{\sigma^2} + 1} \mathbb{E}[(S_T - \tilde{K})^+; S_T < \tilde{B}] \right).$$

page 286, lines -6: replace T by $T - 1$

page 291, line 12: the display should read $K/(1 + b)^T \leq x^* < K$

page 295, line -1: replace = by \supset . This does not effect the subsequent arguments since a priori $\Pi(H) \subseteq [1, 2)$, due to the definition of $\Pi(H)$.

page 297, line 4 of Section 6.4: replace (6.17) by (6.19)

page 325, line 3 in the proof of Lemma 7.24: replace = by \geq

page 331, line 4 in the proof of Theorem 7.31: delete "both sides are equal"

page 353, Theorem 9.9: As was kindly pointed out to us by Konstantinos Kardaras and Sven Lickfeld, we need to add the assumption that each cone $\mathcal{R}_t = \{\lambda \xi_t \mid \lambda \geq 0, \xi_t \in \mathcal{S}_t\}$ contains all bounded portfolios in its L^0 -closure (which is automatically satisfied if \mathcal{R}_t is itself closed in L^0 . In turn, \mathcal{R}_t is closed in L^0 as soon as \mathcal{S}_t is itself a cone). This assumption is needed for the applicability of Lemma 9.12 in the proof of Lemma 9.13.

page 355, Lemma 9.13: Add the assumption that each cone \mathcal{R}_t contains all bounded portfolios in its L^0 -closure (compare comment on Thm. 9.9 above).

page 355, line -11: replace \mathcal{F}_T by \mathcal{F}_t and replace $-L_+^0$ by $-L_+^\infty$ in the next line

page 362, line -3: Assume $\mathcal{P}_S \neq \emptyset$, which is stronger than the assumption that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ does not contain arbitrage opportunities (compare comment on Thm. 9.9 above).

page 371, Corollary 9.30: Add the assumption that each cone \mathcal{R}_t contains all bounded portfolios in its L^0 -closure (compare comment on Thm. 9.9 above).

page 391, Proposition 10.34: Recall that we work in $d = 1$

page 392, line 11: replace \tilde{V}_t by \hat{V}_t

page 414, line 11: replace B^c by $B^c \cap \{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\}$

page 425, Theorem A.48: add the assumption that \mathcal{L} contains the constants

Acknowledgement: We thank G. Baigge, M. Eckhoff, K.-T. Eisele, D. Filipovic, K. Kardaras, T. Knispel, G. Koch, H. König, C. Kühn, M. Lazgham, S. Lickfeld, M. Massow, Y. Mishura, E. Presman, M. Scheutzow, G. Shevchenko, and L. Teng for contributing to this list.